



## *Benchmark Statements*

Published: January 2019  
Updated: December 2021

## General index family description

<i>Index family name</i>	<b><u>Property Index Family</u></b>	<b><u>Infrastructure Index Family</u></b>	<b><u>GPR Dutch Small Cap Index Family</u></b>
<i>Constituents</i>	Listed real estate securities	Listed infrastructure securities	Listed Dutch small cap securities
<i>Geography</i>	Global	Global	Netherlands
<i>Currencies</i>	AUD, CAD, CHF, EUR, GBP, JPY, LOC, MYR, SGD, USD, ZAR	AUD, EUR, LOC, USD	EUR
<i>Sub-indices</i>	Continents, Regions, Zones, Countries, Sectors, REIT, Non-REIT	Continents, Regions, Countries, Sectors, MLP, Non-MLP	Sectors
<i>Customizations</i>	All currency hedging, single currency hedging, partial currency hedging, net of dividend withholding tax, geography capping, constituents capping		
<i>Description</i>	The Property Index Family is composed in such a way that it is considered to be representative for the movements in the worldwide listed real estate securities market.	The Infrastructure Index Family is composed in such a way that it is considered to be representative for the movements in the worldwide listed infrastructure securities market.	The GPR Dutch Small Cap Index is composed in such a way that it is considered to be representative for the movements in listed Dutch smaller companies.
<i>Input data</i>	All data used in calculating and maintaining the Property Index Family is publicly available economic data. This data is either made public via (i) the relevant stock exchange (price, dividend amount, shares outstanding) or (ii) a press release by the constituent (M&A activity).	All data used in calculating and maintaining the Infrastructure Index Family is publicly available economic data. This data is either made public via (i) the relevant stock exchange (price, dividend amount, shares outstanding) or (ii) a press release by the constituent (M&A activity).	All data used in calculating and maintaining the GPR Dutch Small Cap Index is publicly available economic data. This data is either made public via (i) the relevant stock exchange (price, dividend amount, shares outstanding) or (ii) a press release by the constituent (M&A activity).
<i>Methodology*</i>	Available at website GPR	Available at website GPR	Available at website GPR
<i>ESG objectives**</i>	Yes	Yes	No

\*The Property Index Family, Infrastructure Index Family and GPR Dutch Small Cap Index are constructed and maintained based on Construction & Maintenance Procedures. The Construction & Maintenance Procedures describes the (i) composition, (ii) calculation, (iii) publication, (iv) periodic adjustment and (v) final provisions. Once circumstances occur not clearly stipulated in the Construction & Maintenance Procedures and not covered by Rule 6.3 of the Construction & Maintenance Procedures, Global Property Research will consult all users of the respective Index Family known to Global Property Research. Based on this consultation Global Property Research will describe the prevailing procedure in a public statement. The relevant Construction & Maintenance Procedures can be found on the GPR website (<https://globalpropertyresearch.com/indices2.aspx?id=216>).

\*\*ESG Disclosures pursuant to REGULATION (EU) 2019/2089 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 27 November 2019 amending Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 as regards EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks and sustainability-related disclosures for benchmark can be found in ANNEX I – ESG data providers

## Reference Data

### **Currencies**

<i>CurrencyCode</i>	<i>Description</i>
AUD	Australian Dollar
CAD	Canadian Dollar
CHF	Swiss Franc
EUR	Euro
GBP	British Pound
JPY	Japanese Yen
LOC	Local currency
MYR	Malaysian Ringit
SGD	Singapore Dollar
USD	US Dollar
ZAR	South African Rand

### **Sub-indices**

<i>ContinentCode</i>	<i>Description</i>
AFR	Africa
ASI	Asia
AME	Americas
EUR	Europe
OCE	Oceania

<i>RegionCode</i>	<i>Description</i>
AUSNZL	Australia and New Zealand
C-AME	Central America
E-ASI	Eastern Asia
N-AME	Northern America
N-EUR	Northern Europe
S-AFR	Southern Africa
S-AME	Southern America
SE-ASI	South-Eastern Asia
S-EUR	Southern Europe
W-EUR	Western Europe

<i>ZoneCode</i>	<i>Description</i>
ALLxUSA	World ex USA
APAC	Asia Pacific
APACxJPN	Asia Pacific ex Japan
ASIxJPN	Asia ex Japan
BRIC	Brazil, Russian Federation, India and China
DEVELOP	Developed Regions
EMERG	Developing Regions
EUREU	European Union
EUROZN	Europe Euro-Zone
EURxUK	Europe ex UK
FARE	Far East
FARExJPN	Far East ex Japan
LATAME	Latin America
NAFTA	Nafta

<i>CountryCode</i>	<i>Description</i>
AUS	Australia
AUT	Austria

BEL	Belgium
BRA	Brazil
CAN	Canada
CHE	Switzerland
CHN	China
DEU	Germany
ESP	Spain
FIN	Finland
FRA	France
GBR	United Kingdom
GRC	Greece
HKG	Hong Kong
IDN	Indonesia
IND	India
IRL	Ireland
ISR	Israel
ITA	Italy
JPN	Japan
MEX	Mexico
MYS	Malaysia
NLD	Netherlands
NOR	Norway
NZL	New Zealand
PAK	Pakistan
PHL	Philippines
POL	Poland
RUS	Russian Federation
SGP	Singapore
SWE	Sweden
THA	Thailand
TUR	Turkey
TWN	Taiwan Province of China
USA	United States
ZAF	South Africa

<i>SectorCode</i>	<i>Description</i>
DIV	Diversified
HCR	HealthCare
HOT	Hotel
IND	Industrial
OFF	Office
OTH	Other
RES	Residential
RET	Retail
COM	Communication
ELT	Electricity Transmission
PLS	Pipelines & Storage
TRA	Transportation
BNK	Banks
CHM	Chemicals
CNS	Construction & Materials
FOB	Food & Beverage
FSV	Financial Services
HCR	Health Care
IGS	Industrial Goods & Services
MDI	Media
OAG	Oil & Gas

PAH	Personal & Household Goods
RTS	Retail
TAL	Travel & Leisure
TEC	Technology
UTI	Utilities

<i>OtherCode</i>	<i>Description</i>
REIT	Real Estate Investment Trust
MLP	Master Limited Partnership

## ANNEX I – ESG data providers

<b>ACTIAM</b>	
<b>CONSIDERATION OF ESG FACTORS</b>	
Name of the benchmark administrator	GPR
Type of benchmark or family of benchmarks	Equities
Name of the benchmark or family of benchmarks	Property Index Family: GPR Sustainable Real Estate Index Europe
Are there in the portfolio of the benchmark administrator any EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors?	Yes
Does the benchmark or family of benchmarks pursue ESG objectives?	Yes
<i>Details in relation to ESG factors listed in Annex II to Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1816 disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value at the level of the benchmark. ESG factors marked as “voluntary” in Annex II to Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1816 are not disclosed. If the data is not applicable for this particular ESG source, they are marked as “NA” below.</i>	
<b>Environmental factors</b>	
Degree of exposure of the portfolio to the sectors listed in Sections A to H and Section L of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council as a percentage of the total weight in the portfolio.	100 % (Section F – Construction and/or Section L – Real Estate Activities)
Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity of the benchmark.	4,0 (t CO2 equivalents/USD million EVIC)
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies the activities of which fall under Divisions 05 to 09, 19 and 20 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006.	0%
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to activities included in the environmental goods and services sector, as defined in Article 2, point (5) of Regulation (EU) No 691/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council	0%
<b>Social factors</b>	
International treaties and conventions, United Nations principles or, where applicable, national law used in order to determine what constitutes a ‘controversial weapon’.	NA
Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the controversial weapons sector.	0%
Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the tobacco sector	0%
Number of benchmark constituents subject to social violations (absolute number and relative divided by all benchmark constituents), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law.	0 / 0%
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies without due diligence policies on issues addressed by the fundamental International Labor Organisation Conventions 1 to 8.	0
Weighted average gender pay gap.	Not publicly available data

Weighted average ratio of female to male board members.	28%
Weighted average ratio of accidents, injuries, fatalities.	Not publicly available data
Numbers of convictions and amount of fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws.	Not publicly available data
<b>Governance factors</b>	
Weighted average percentage of board members who are independent.	NA
Weighted average percentage of female board members.	28%
Hyperlink to the information on ESG factors for each benchmark:	SIP: <a href="https://www.actiam.com/en/sustainable-investments/">https://www.actiam.com/en/sustainable-investments/</a> ESG Score: <a href="https://www.actiam.com/4a7f72/siteassets/4_verantwoord/documenten/en/d-actiam-sustainable-investment-instruments.pdf">https://www.actiam.com/4a7f72/siteassets/4_verantwoord/documenten/en/d-actiam-sustainable-investment-instruments.pdf</a>
<b>Data and standards used</b>	
Description of data sources used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement. <i>Describe how the data used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement are sourced and whether, and to what extent, data are estimated or reported.</i>	MSCI ESG Rating  GRESB Real Estate Assessment. We focus our attention to the level of disclosure of an issuer, its potential (via the Green Star) and its overall ESG performance.
Reference standards. <i>List the supporting standards used for the reporting under item 6 and/or item 7.</i>	

# GRESB – Real Estate

## CONSIDERATION OF ESG FACTORS

Name of the benchmark administrator	GPR
Type of benchmark or family of benchmarks	Equities
Name of the benchmark or family of benchmarks	Property Index Family: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GPR ESG+ Index Series,</li> <li>GPR Global 100 Index EUR,</li> <li>GPR Global Top 100 ESG Index EUR</li> </ul>
Are there in the portfolio of the benchmark administrator any EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors?	Yes
Does the benchmark or family of benchmarks pursue ESG objectives?	Yes

*Details in relation to ESG factors listed in Annex II to Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1816 disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value at the level of the benchmark. ESG factors marked as “voluntary” in Annex II to Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1816 are not disclosed. If the data is not applicable for this particular ESG source, they are marked as “NA” below.*

The relevant following hyperlinks show more detailed information on the GRESB Real Estate Assessment and the GRESB:

<https://gresb.com/nl-en/real-estate-assessment/#assessment-resources>

<https://gresb.com/nl-en/real-estate-public-disclosure/#how-is-gresb-public-disclosure-data-scored>

The score breakdown by the E, S, G pillar within each component for the GRESB Real Estate Assessment is presented below.

	<b>E</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>G</b>
<b>Management</b>	0%	35%	65%
<b>Performance</b>	89%	11%	0%

This can be further broken down into the scoring model that is presented below in the additional environmental, social and governance factors

### Environmental factors

Degree of exposure of the portfolio to the sectors listed in Sections A to H and Section L of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council as a percentage of the total weight in the portfolio.	100 % (Section F – Construction and/or Section L – Real Estate Activities)
Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity of the benchmark.	20.6 (tCO <sub>2</sub> / m <sup>2</sup> reported portfolio (average 77%))
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies the activities of which fall under Divisions 05 to 09, 19 and 20 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006.	0%



Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to activities included in the environmental goods and services sector, as defined in Article 2, point (5) of Regulation (EU) No 691/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council	0%				
<b>Additional environmental factors</b>					
<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Indicator name</b>	<b>Max. Points</b>	<b>Universe Average</b>	<b>Coverage</b>
E	RA1	Risk assessments performed on standing investments portfolio	3	2.7	96.3%
E	RA2	Technical building assessments	3	1.8	96.3%
E	RA3	Energy efficiency measures	1.5	1.3	96.3%
E	RA4	Water efficiency measures	1	0.8	96.3%
E	RA5	Waste management measures	0.5	0.4	96.3%
E	T1.1	Portfolio improvement targets	2	1.7	96.3%
E	TC3	Fit-out & refurbishment program for tenants on ESG	1.5	1.1	96.3%
E	TC4	ESG-specific requirements in lease contracts (green leases)	1.5	1.1	96.3%
E	EN1	Energy consumption	14	9.5	96.3%
E	GH1	GHG emissions	7	5.3	96.3%
E	WT1	Water use	7	4.6	96.3%
E	WS1	Waste management	4	2.3	96.3%
E	MR1	External review of energy data	1.75	1.1	96.3%
E	MR2	External review of GHG data	1.25	0.8	96.3%
E	MR3	External review of water data	1.25	0.8	96.3%
E	MR4	External review of waste data	1.25	0.7	96.3%
E	BC1	Building certifications	8.5	5.2	96.3%
E	BC2	Energy Ratings	2	1.1	96.3%
<b>Social factors</b>					
International treaties and conventions, United Nations principles or, where applicable, national law used in order to determine what constitutes a 'controversial weapon'.	NA				
Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the controversial weapons sector.	0%				
Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the tobacco sector	0%				
Number of benchmark constituents subject to social violations (absolute number and relative divided by all benchmark constituents), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law.	0 / 0%				
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies without due diligence policies on issues addressed by the fundamental International Labor Organisation Conventions 1 to 8.	NA				
Weighted average gender pay gap.	NA				
Weighted average ratio of female to male board members.	26%				
Weighted average ratio of accidents, injuries, fatalities.	2.6 (Injury rate for the entities that monitor the conditions for and/or tracked indicators of employee safety during the last three years)				

Numbers of convictions and amount of fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws.		NA			
<b>Additional social factors</b>					
<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Indicator name</b>	<b>Max. Points</b>	<b>Universe Average</b>	<b>Coverage</b>
S	RM3.1	Social risk assessments	0.5	0.5	100.0%
S	SE1	Employee training	1	0.9	100.0%
S	SE2.1	Employee satisfaction survey	1	0.8	100.0%
S	SE2.2	Employee engagement program	1	0.9	100.0%
S	SE3.1	Employee health & well-being program	0.75	0.7	100.0%
S	SE3.2	Employee health & well-being measures	1.25	1.2	100.0%
S	SE4	Employee safety indicators	0.5	0.5	100.0%
S	SE5	Inclusion and diversity	0.5	0.4	100.0%
S	SE6	Supply chain engagement program	1.5	1.4	100.0%
S	SE7.1	Monitoring property/asset managers	1	1.0	100.0%
S	SE7.2	Monitoring external suppliers/service providers	1	0.9	100.0%
S	SE8	Stakeholder grievance process	0.5	0.5	100.0%
S	TC1	Tenant engagement program	1	0.8	96.3%
S	TC2.1	Tenant satisfaction survey	1	0.6	96.3%
S	TC2.2	Program to improve tenant satisfaction	1	0.8	96.3%
S	TC5.1	Tenant health & well-being program	0.75	0.6	96.3%
S	TC5.2	Tenant health & well-being measures	1.25	1.0	96.3%
S	TC6.1	Community engagement program	2	1.9	96.3%
S	TC6.2	Monitoring impact on community	1	0.8	96.3%
<b>Governance factors</b>					
Weighted average percentage of board members who are independent.		NA			
Weighted average percentage of female board members.		26%			
<b>Additional governance factors</b>					
<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Indicator name</b>	<b>Max. Points</b>	<b>Universe Average</b>	<b>Coverage</b>
G	LE2	ESG objectives	1	1.0	100.0%
G	LE3	Individual responsible for ESG and/or climate-related objectives	2	2.0	100.0%
G	LE4	ESG taskforce/committee	1	1.0	100.0%
G	LE5	ESG and/or climate-related senior decision-maker	1	1.0	100.0%
G	LE6	Personnel ESG performance targets	2	1.2	100.0%
G	PO1	Policy on environmental issues	1.5	1.5	100.0%
G	PO2	Policy on social issues	1.5	1.5	100.0%
G	PO3	Policy on governance issues	1.5	1.5	100.0%
G	RP1	ESG reporting	3.5	3.3	100.0%
G	RM1	Environmental Management System (EMS)	2	1.2	100.0%
G	RM2	Process to implement governance policies	0.5	0.5	100.0%
G	RM3.2	Governance risk assessments	0.5	0.5	100.0%
G	RM4	ESG due diligence for new acquisitions	1.5	1.5	100.0%
Hyperlink to the information on ESG factors for each benchmark:		In depth information on the indicators can be found here: <a href="https://documents.gresb.com/generated_files/real_estate/2021/real_estate/reference_guide/complete.html#development-building-certifications">https://documents.gresb.com/generated_files/real_estate/2021/real_estate/reference_guide/complete.html#development-building-certifications</a>  <a href="https://gresb.com/wp-content/uploads/resources-2021-real-estate-public-disclosure.pdf">https://gresb.com/wp-content/uploads/resources-2021-real-estate-public-disclosure.pdf</a>			
<b>Data and standards used</b>					
Description of data sources used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement. <i>Describe how the data used to provide information on the</i>		GRESB uses a proprietary data collection, analysis, scoring and benchmarking methodology. This is publicly available on the			

<i>ESG factors in the benchmark statement are sourced and whether, and to what extent, data are estimated or reported.</i>	GRESB website and can be accessed here <a href="https://documents.gresb.com/">https://documents.gresb.com/</a> .
<i>Reference standards. List the supporting standards used for the reporting under item 6 and/ or item 7.</i>	NA

<b>GRESB – Infrastructure</b>						
<b>CONSIDERATION OF ESG FACTORS</b>						
Name of the benchmark administrator		GPR				
Type of benchmark or family of benchmarks		Equities				
Name of the benchmark or family of benchmarks		Infrastructure Index Family: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GLIO/GRESB ESG Index Series</li> </ul>				
Are there in the portfolio of the benchmark administrator any EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors?		Yes				
Does the benchmark or family of benchmarks pursue ESG objectives?		Yes				
<p><i>Details in relation to ESG factors listed in Annex II to Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1816 disclosed at an aggregated weighted average value at the level of the benchmark. ESG factors marked as “voluntary” in Annex II to Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1816 are not disclosed. If the data is not applicable for this particular ESG source, they are marked as “NA” below.</i></p> <p>The relevant following hyperlinks show more detailed information on the GRESB Infrastructure Public Disclosure: <a href="https://gresb.com/nl-en/infrastructure-public-disclosure/">https://gresb.com/nl-en/infrastructure-public-disclosure/</a></p> <p>The score breakdown by the E, S, G pillar for the GRESB Infrastructure Public Disclosure can be broken down into the scoring model that is presented below in the additional environment, social and governance factors</p>						
<b>Environmental factors</b>						
Degree of exposure of the portfolio to the sectors listed in Sections A to H and Section L of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council as a percentage of the total weight in the portfolio.		95 % (Section D – Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply, Section E – Water supply, Section F and Section H – Transportation and storage)				
Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity of the benchmark.		NA				
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies the activities of which fall under Divisions 05 to 09, 19 and 20 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006.		0%				
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to activities included in the environmental goods and services sector, as defined in Article 2, point (5) of Regulation (EU) No 691/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council		0%				
<b>Additional environmental factors</b>						
Pillar	Indicator	Indicator Name	Max. Points	Universe Average	Coverage	
E	13	Long-term reduction targets of environmental and social impact	3.1	1.6	70%	
E	14	ESG initiatives and/or case studies that relate to the entity with measurable impact	3.1	3.0	96%	
E	15	ESG-Related management standard accreditation or alignment	5.2	3.5	67%	

E	16	Information on investments that obtained an Asset-Level certification	3.1	0.7	21%
E	20	Health & Safety data of portfolio	4.2	2.9	88%
<b>Social factors</b>					
International treaties and conventions, United Nations principles or, where applicable, national law used in order to determine what constitutes a 'controversial weapon'.			NA		
Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the controversial weapons sector.			0%		
Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the tobacco sector			0%		
Number of benchmark constituents subject to social violations (absolute number and relative divided by all benchmark constituents), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law.			0 / 0%		
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies without due diligence policies on issues addressed by the fundamental International Labor Organisation Conventions 1 to 8.			NA		
Weighted average gender pay gap.			NA		
Weighted average ratio of female to male board members.			NA		
Weighted average ratio of accidents, injuries, fatalities.			NA		
Numbers of convictions and amount of fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws.			NA		
<b>Additional social factors</b>					
<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Indicator Name</b>	<b>Max. Points</b>	<b>Universe Average</b>	<b>Coverage</b>
S	25	Employee satisfaction survey during the last three years, if undertaken	3.1	2.0	65%
S	26	Involvement in sustainability related customer engagement programs/initiatives	3.1	1.9	60%
S	27	Customer satisfaction survey during the last three years, if undertaken	3.1	1.8	56%
S	28	Actions of community engagement program, if undertaken	3.1	1.9	61%
S	29	Involvement in other stakeholder (i.e Investors, Regulators, Suppliers) sustainability related engagement programs/initiatives	3.1	2.5	80%
<b>Governance factors</b>					
Weighted average percentage of board members who are independent.			NA		
Weighted average percentage of female board members.			NA		
<b>Additional governance factors</b>					
<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Indicator Name</b>	<b>Max. Points</b>	<b>Universe Average</b>	<b>Coverage</b>
G	1	Sustainability performance via a stand-alone Sustainability Report	5.2	3.2	74%

G	2.1	Sustainability performance via a section in the Annual Report	5.2	1.4	35%
G	2.2	Sustainability performance via an Integrated Report	5.2	0.5	10%
G	3	Sustainability performance via a dedicated section on the corporate website	3.1	2.7	87%
G	5	General sustainability objectives	1.6	0.7	44%
G	6	Specific environmental objectives	1.6	1.4	84%
G	7	Specific social objectives	1.6	1.1	69%
G	8	Specific governance objectives	1.6	1.0	63%
G	9	Existence of dedicated sustainability professional(s) or sustainability task force/committee responsible for the entity	2.1	1.3	62%
G	10	Policy/policies that address(es) environmental issues	3.1	2.1	66%
G	11	Policy/policies that address(es) social issues	3.1	1.9	62%
G	12	Policy/policies that address(es) corporate governance issues	3.1	2.8	89%
Hyperlink to the information on ESG factors for each benchmark:		The 2021 Infrastructure Public Disclosure Reference Guide: <a href="https://gresb.com/wp-content/uploads/resources-2021-infrastructure-public-disclosure.pdf">https://gresb.com/wp-content/uploads/resources-2021-infrastructure-public-disclosure.pdf</a>			
<b>Data and standards used</b>					
Description of data sources used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement. <i>Describe how the data used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement are sourced and whether, and to what extent, data are estimated or reported.</i>		GRESB uses a proprietary data collection, analysis, scoring and benchmarking methodology. This is publicly available on the GRESB website and can be accessed here <a href="https://documents.gresb.com/">https://documents.gresb.com/</a> .			
Reference standards. <i>List the supporting standards used for the reporting under item 6 and/ or item 7.</i>		NA			