

Research Update:

U.K.-Based Social Housing Provider Sovereign Network Group 'A-' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable

November 19, 2025

Overview

- We project that U.K.-based social housing provider Sovereign Network Group's (SNG) investment in existing stock would stabilize at elevated levels toward the end of our forecast period, following a forecast significant increase in fiscal years 2026–2027 (ending March 31).
- In our view, higher rental income from the group's expanding asset base, together with the stabilization of spends, though at high levels, would support a strengthening of S&P Global Ratings adjusted EBITDA margins through fiscal 2028.
- Together with our expectation that debt growth would be more modest toward the end of our base case period and the anticipated easing of U.K. interest rates, we forecast the group's nonsales EBITDA interest cover to recover to close to 1.0x after a temporary dip in fiscal years 2026 and 2027.
- We therefore affirmed our 'A-' long-term issuer credit rating on SNG and kept the outlook stable.

Rating Action

On Nov. 19, 2025, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A-' long-term issuer credit rating on SNG. The outlook is stable.

At the same time, we affirmed our 'A-' issue rating on Sovereign Housing Capital PLC's senior secured bonds and the £1.5 billion senior secured and unsecured medium-term note program. Sovereign Housing Capital is a special-purpose finance vehicle set up for the sole purpose of issuing bonds and lending the proceeds to SNG, and we view it as a core subsidiary of SNG.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view that SNG's high investments in existing and new homes is balanced by the group's inbuilt flexibility and solid financial control which would help keep the group's interest coverage close to 1.0x on a sustained basis.

Primary Contact

Mahek Bhojani
London
44-2071760846
mahek.bhojani
@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact

Beatrice de Taisne, CFA
London
44-20-7176-3938
beatrice.de.taisne
@spglobal.com

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if investments in existing stock increase significantly beyond what we currently anticipate. Pressure on the ratings could also stem from a step-up in new homes development. We think this could lead to a materially higher debt intake than forecast, which, in combination with lower EBITDA, could weaken SNG's credit metrics.

We could also lower the rating if we think that the likelihood of support from the U.K. government will not extend to the group in a timely manner.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if management's actions to control costs and contain debt build-up result in a structural improvement in the group's financial indicators, outpacing our base-case expectations.

Rationale

The rating affirmation reflects our view that faster revenue growth, driven by rent increases expected to outpace inflation, and the eventual stabilization of investment in existing stock, although at high levels, will support EBITDA margins strengthening to above 20% after a temporary dip in fiscal 2026.

We anticipate that growth in nonsales EBITDA, together with the group modestly scaling back new development, which would slow the pace of debt growth toward the end of our forecast period, will support an improvement in interest coverage to a moderate 1.0x. However, we expect debt to be higher than we previously forecast, which, combined with a slower-than-anticipated recovery in nonsales EBITDA, would temporarily weaken interest coverage to about 0.8x in fiscal 2026 and 2027.

SNG is a large social housing provider operating in a countercyclical sector with a relatively supportive regulatory framework.

Enterprise profile: Underpinned by a large asset base, contained sales risk, and management's ability to adjust costs

SNG benefits from generating most of its earnings in the predictable and countercyclical social housing sector, supported by a solid market position and a generally cautious approach to sales risk. We estimate that SNG's exposure to sales would be contained at less than one-third of turnover on average over our forecast horizon. SNG owns and manages about 85,000 homes, enabling the group to better withstand external shocks compared to smaller social housing providers.

In our view, demand for the group's properties remains solid. SNG's average social and affordable rents are just below 50% of the prevailing market rent in the regions in which it operates--across London and the south of England. The strong demand for SNG's core services is also evident in the group's average vacancy rate of 1.4% over the past three years, which we assess at par with the sector average in England.

We think that SNG's board and executive team possess solid experience in managing the group's operations. The group's strategy remains focused on increasing investment in its existing stock, and while net capital expenditure (capex) remains high, it is lower (by close to 20%) than we had

previously assumed in the outer years of our base case. We acknowledge the group's efforts to modestly scale back its development ambitions to help somewhat balance investment pressures.

We understand that SNG continues to maintain good flexibility on the spending side. A large part of its development program is uncommitted, especially beyond fiscal 2027 while the planned investment in existing stock includes provisions and higher cost contingencies to address potential additional risks.

We assess the regulatory framework under which registered providers of social housing in England operate as strong (see "[Regulatory Framework Assessment: Strong For Social Housing Providers In The U.K.](#)," April 17, 2025).

Financial profile: Underpinned by our view that margins would remain above 20% on average and interest coverage would recover after a temporary weakening while liquidity remains solid

We expect the group's adjusted EBITDA margin to recover to above 20% following a temporary dip in fiscal 2026. We think the group's ability to deliver cost efficiencies alongside its expanding asset base and our expectation that rent increases will outpace inflation, would help offset the impact of high investments in its existing portfolio. We understand that the group includes a significant level of provisions and potential spending on its existing stock in its plans, which it could defer to later years if needed. In our view, this financial flexibility and the management's demonstrated ability to exercise it in previous years further underpins our expectation that EBITDA would improve through fiscal 2028, though the recovery would be slower than previously anticipated.

We expect the strengthening of the group's nonsales EBITDA to support an improvement in interest coverage to close to 1.0x by the end of our forecast horizon. However, the forecast increase in debt, driven by the need to fund the group's capital program and lower-than-previously anticipated proceeds from fixed-asset sales, would result in relatively weaker debt metrics as compared to our previous forecasts.

We forecast that SNG's liquidity position will remain very strong, supported by our view that SNG will have strong access to debt capital markets. We estimate the group's liquidity sources will cover uses by approximately 1.6x in the next 12 months. This is based on our forecast of liquidity sources of about £1.6 billion, comprising cash from operations after adding back the noncash cost of sales, as well as cash and undrawn available facilities, grant receipts, and proceeds from fixed asset sales. These sources will cover liquidity uses of about £1 billion, primarily for capex, interest, and principal repayments.

Government-related entity analysis

We think there is a moderately high likelihood that SNG would receive timely extraordinary government support if needed. This leads us to apply a one-notch uplift to the stand-alone credit profile to derive the issuer credit rating. Since one of the key goals of Regulator of Social Housing's (RSH) is to maintain lender confidence and low funding costs across the sector, we think it is likely that the RSH would step in and try and prevent a default in the sector. We base this view on previous records of the RSH mediating mergers or arranging liquidity support from other registered providers in cases of financial distress and think this would apply to SNG.

Key Statistics

Sovereign Network Group--Key Statistics

Mil. £	--Year ended March 31--				
	2024a	2025a	2026bc	2027bc	2028bc
Number of units owned or managed	84,790	84,977	85,918	87,339	87,585
Adjusted operating revenue	697.5	783.8	820.4	826.9	820.2
Adjusted EBITDA	168.3	179.0	154.0	168.7	201.0
Non-sales adjusted EBITDA	153.9	166.5	136.0	152.6	190.6
Capital expense	467.9	643.6	835.3	626.9	603.5
Debt	3,623.1	4,056.5	4,445.5	4,715.5	4,873.9
Interest expense	150.6	168.0	180.9	192.5	200.4
Adjusted EBITDA/Adjusted operating revenue (%)	24.1	22.8	18.8	20.4	24.5
Debt/Non-sales adjusted EBITDA (x)	23.5	24.4	32.7	30.9	25.6
Non-sales adjusted EBITDA/interest coverage(x)	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0

a--Actual. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario.

Rating Component Scores

Sovereign Network Group--Ratings Score Snapshot

	Assessment
Enterprise risk profile	3
Industry risk	2
Regulatory framework	3
Market dependencies	2
Management and Governance	3
Financial risk profile	4
Financial performance	4
Debt profile	6
Liquidity	2
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb+
Issuer Credit Rating	A-

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-profit social housing providers on the seven main rating factors listed in the table above. S&P Global Ratings' "Methodology For Rating Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers," published on June 1, 2021, summarizes how the seven factors are combined to derive each social housing provider's stand-alone credit profile and issuer credit rating.

Related Criteria

- [General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings](#), Oct. 10, 2021
- [Criteria | Governments | General: Methodology For Rating Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers](#), June 1, 2021

- [General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology](#), July 1, 2019
- [General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions](#), March 25, 2015
- [General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk](#), Nov. 19, 2013
- [General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings](#), Feb. 16, 2011

Related Research

Non-U.S. Social Housing Providers Ratings Risk Indicators: Largely Stable	Nov. 17, 2025
Non-U.S. Social Housing Providers Ratings History: October 2025	Nov. 17, 2025
United Kingdom	Oct. 13, 2025
U.K. Economic Outlook Q4 2025: Inflation And Labor Costs Are A Persistent Challenge	Sept. 23, 2025
Regulatory Framework And Systemic Support Assessments For Nonprofit Social Housing Providers	Sept. 10, 2025
European Housing Markets: Strong Demand And Weak Supply Will Keep Prices High	July 10, 2025
U.K. Social Housing Providers: Extra Development Grants Won't Improve Financial Headroom	June 26, 2025
U.K. Social Housing Borrowing 2025: Focused On Containing Debt	April 24, 2025
Regulatory Framework Assessment: Strong For Social Housing Providers In The U.K.	April 17, 2025
The Autumn Budget Kicks Off A Funding Regime Revision For U.K. Public Sector Entities	Nov. 5, 2024
U.K. Social Housing Providers' Financial Capacity Shrinks On Investment Needs	Nov. 4, 2024
Cyber Risk Brief: U.K. Public Sector Is Increasingly Under Threat	Oct. 24, 2024

Ratings List

Ratings List	
Ratings Affirmed	
Sovereign Network Group	
Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/--
Sovereign Housing Capital PLC	
Senior Secured	A-

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria> for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceId/504352>. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.