

Institution **CIOT - CTA**
Course **Adv Tech Cross-Border Envrmt Taxes**

Event **NA**

Exam Mode **OPEN LAPTOP + NETWORK**

Exam ID 

| Count (s) | Word (s) | Char (s) | Char (s) (WS) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| Section 1 | 494 | 2335 | 2796 |
| Section 2 | 1060 | 4736 | 5757 |
| Section 3 | 1224 | 5873 | 7049 |
| Section 4 | 654 | 2988 | 3614 |
| Section 5 | 1124 | 4894 | 5975 |
| Section 6 | 1074 | 5094 | 6123 |
| Total | 5630 | 25920 | 31314 |

Answer-to-Question-__1__

Derbyshire Wetland Trust

Where non-taxable uses are carried out in an information area within a landfill site that is clearly designated that landfill tax doesn't become chargeable.

Ash

Ash can be classed as a recycled material and therefore will not have LFT due where disposed off in an information area.

HMRC need to be informed of any nontaxable uses being carried out at a landfill site

If Bigquarry doesn't have an information area they need to provide HMRC with where this would be on the site with clearly designated signs and the nature of the goods i.e. Ash that would be disposed there

non-recyclable waste

This can't go in the information area and will be subject to landfill tax.

Therefore, these should be separated in order to get this benefit.

Both need to be separately weighed ideally at a weighbridge.

If Bigquarry doesn't have an information area then the full load will become taxable.

Dredging

There is an exemption from landfill tax where the material is removed from inland waterways.

This however does not apply to material that is dredged from a lake due to not having a underflow of current and therefore not classing as a waterway.

Where material from waterways is provided seperately to Bigquarry then this will be exempt from landfill tax however if this is provided in one indistigishable load and also includes material from the lake it will all become subject to landfill tax.

Bigquarry needs to keep clear records and contracts of the which materials come from which waterway / lake in order to be able to provdie evidence to HMRC shoudl they request it.

Fund contributions

Where fund contributions are made to a body enrolled at ENTRUST then Bigquarry would be subject to a landfill tax community fund up to 5.3% of their total LFT liability for the year.

if the donatin is for the encouragement of biodiversity this is a qualoifying contribution.

It results in a tax credit worth 90% of any qualifying contribution.

Big quarry needs to consider of the contribtuion is in return for something else any a supply going from Derbyshire warteways to Bigquarry as in that case this would not be qulkoyfing contribution for LCF.

Fenalik

Bigquarry is entitled to bad debt relief wher the following conditinos are met:

- carride out a taxable activity (here this is disposal)
- LFT has been accounted for (need confirmation)
- debt has bene written off in accounts
- a LFT invoice has been issued showuing LFT due
- LFT invoice was issude within 14 dyas of disposal
- 12 months have passed since invoice issude.

Where all the above conditinos are met LFT can be reclaimed via a bad debt releif.

They would aslo need a seperate indivaul account for Fenalik for this bad debt and hadve had this th ewhole time.

This must be offset against any mutual debts or LFT paud by Fenalik.

can be included on box 6 of LFT return.

-----ANSWER-1-ABOVE-----

-----ANSWER-2-BELOW-----

Answer-to-Question- 2

Meeple NETP?

Firstly it should be considered if Meeple has a fixed establishment in the UK or not. They are VAT registered in the UK but this alone does not mean they have a fixed establishment.

To determine if they have a fixed establishment the Berkhout case law should be considered. This is driven by if M has technical and human resources in order to receive and make supplies.

The office supports the UK sellers as well as promotes the website. The support to UK sellers would be deemed a service and advertising could also be viewed in this bucket.

Therefore, seems appropriate that M does have a FE in the UK but in either case is already UK VAT registered

Sale to UK individuals

M is operating as an online marketplace (OMP) as it operates to facilitate buyers and sellers coming together and takes a percentage of the sell on price as commission (revenue).

For VAT purposes by operating as a OMP M has additional responsibilities and should account for VAT on certain sales.

When operating as a OMP there are two sales that occur, one to the OMP and one by the OMP for VAT purpose.

The sales to M by sellers from outside the UK are outside the scope of UK VAT by the sellers and the sellers do not have an obligation to register for VAT from this sale

However the sale by M then onto UK customers where the value of the consignment is less than £135 (low value consignment) is deemed to be of been supplied by M the OMP and they should be accounting for VAT on the sale to the UK individuals.

There is no Customs Duty or import VAT liable for LVC but in any case even where these are not LVCs the import VAT and customs duty would be liable by the declarant which unless stated otherwise would be the seller and not M.

Alternatively, where the sales of goods by non-uk suppliers to UK individuals are not LVC i.e over £135 then M is not liable to account for the VAT and this should be done so by the seller.

M does however have a responsibility to ensure the sellers are accounting for VAT and could be jointly and severally liable where they are not.

Sale to UK businesses

The sale to UK retailers where the retailers are small and in the unlikely case not VAT

registered should be treated in line with the sales to UK individuals.

Where the retailers are VAT registered where this is a LVC (all be it unlikely if selling to a retailer) its the obligation of the retailer to accoutn for VAT via the reverse charge where supplied by an overseas seller.

Where this is not an LVC VAT is chargeable by the supplier and not by M on the sale as a doemestic supply.

Goods already in the UK

Where supplies are made where the goods are already in the UK to Uk indivudals by overseas sellers this is a domestic supply and VAT is due.

Whether this is an LVC or not where the goods are already in the UK the OMP, M is liable to accoutn for the domestic sale of the goods.

Suppleis by UJ sellers

Supplies by UK sellers via the OMP will not be the responsbiltiy of M to accoutn for the tax and is that of the seller where VAT registered.

Rate of supply

All goods supplied will be standard rated (assuming rule books are supplied alongisde games and rtherefore dont dall into a single seperate supply of books which wou;d be zero rated). Where rule books are suppluied seperately these still wont fall under the supply of books as are deemed to be part of the game and not a book per the zero rated

grouping.

Failure to account for tax by M

Where M has failed to account for output tax (where a LVC or goods already in the UK) it will be liable to pay the underpaid VAT and also may well be subject to penalties.

M should look to cooperate with HMRC granting access to records to look to mitigate penalties.

M is unlikely to get a "reasonable excuse" as its M's responsibility to account for the VAT on the relevant sales explained above and simply not knowing they should be doing this is not enough

Missing trader fraud?

Ontop of the above, an online market place, Meeple has further responsibilities of keeping track of the sellers that operate on their platform as well as keeping up to date records of their VAT registrations and the number of sales going through the platform (and therefore VAT registration obligations).

M has the responsibility where it sees fit that a seller should be VAT registered and is not or is committing fraud and avoiding VAT registrations M should stop selling the seller's product on the platform and inform HMRC of this within 30 days.

M can be jointly and severally liable for sellers not accounting for VAT when they should be charging VAT.

The example given by HMRC indicates the seller may well be setting up new compnaies artificially to stay below teh VAT registration threshold and therefore avoid charging VAT on the sales.

The fact they were previously non-UK suggests they have an address in the UK that isnt a fixed establishment but tghey are suggesting is one to avoid being an NETP and no VAT threshold.

This durther could indicate missing trader fraud and could lean into the Kittel principles where M should of known that VAT is "missing" here and is being artificially avoided and therefore is jointly and severlly liable to this VAT.

M should immediatly where the above seems correct, stop these sellers from using the online platform and inform HMRC.

Just becaouse HMRC has not taken action does not meen a C18 wont be issued in the future but by cooperating and reporting these sellers ASAP M may mitigate the amount of penalty.

They however could still be liable to pay the VAT on behalf of these companies where HMRC can't receive it from them.

M should reach out to these sellers to confirm there reasoning for not being VAT registered.

M should be able to recover advertising costs it incurs due to producing taxable supplies as explained above.

-----ANSWER-2-ABOVE-----

-----ANSWER-3-BELOW-----

Answer-to-Question- 3

Does teh subsidiary representa fixed establishment? (free choice)

Firstly although Intermacy Corp (IUS) has no "presence" in the UK it needs to be determined if the UK subsidiary (IUK) is fully under the control and influence of Intermacy Corp and is therefore opeating as a fixed establishment.

There is case law surroning the area of what determines a fixed establoihsment and whether a subsidiary can determine a fixed establishment.

Where IUK acts independantly, i.,e is choosing its own consutlancy and fashion firms to contract with and I US doesnt have a say then IUK is operating independatnly and therefore not a fixed establihsment of IUS.

It has to be considered if I US wasnt there could I Uk still provide services and just becasue IUS is remunerating IUK doesnt stop them from operating independantly.

Therefore IUK is not a fixed establishment of IUS and IUS is a NETP.

Wellmory is an example of the casestudy described above as well as Club 21.

Is the Basic service ESS by I US?

An electronically supplied service is one that can operate independently with minimal to no human intervention via the internet.

The basic service provided by ICorp in the US uses artificial intelligence to match up UK customers with matches without human intervention and is therefore an electronically supplied service.

The basic place of supply rules of B2C would be the place of supply would be where the supplier is based.

However ESS has an override which is that the place of supply is made to where the recipient belongs. The use and enjoyment rules don't apply here as that is only for B2B supplies.

Therefore, where the supply is to a UK individual the place of supply is the UK and UK domestic VAT should be charged.

Where the supply is to a non-UK based individual this is outside the scope of UK VAT.

Advertising provided to businesses

Advertising services follow the general B2B supply and therefore are deemed to be where the customer is based. This will be in the UK and where the recipient is UK VAT registered will be reverse charged by the customer.

Where the recipient is not VAT registered then this will count towards the recipient's threshold but does not result in UK VAT needing to be charged by Intemacy corp.

Advertising provided to non-businesses (NRBP)

Where the advertising services are provided to a business that doesn't carry out any business activities whatsoever (charities described) then these are classed as non-relevant business persons.

The general rule applies and supply is where the supplier is based and is therefore outside the scope of UK VAT.

As determined above, an Intermacy Corp does not belong in the UK, then the advertising override does not apply for B2C customers. This override would result in advertising services provided to individuals outside the UK being treated as having a place of supply.

For what it's worth, where these charities provided some business activity, even if the advertising was of a non-business activity, they would be in business and would fall under advertising provided to a relevant business person.

Enhanced - Is this ESS? (Not automated)

The enhanced service provided by Intermacy Corp first needs to be considered if this is a single or multiple supply and if a single supply, what is the main supply.

It would be beneficial to lean on case law such as the CPP case to determine where there is a main supply and ancillary supplies; the VAT treatment would follow the main supply.

Furthermore, Levob could be a beneficial case law also to consider whereby if other supplies are "incidental" and for better enjoyment of the main supply then again this is a single supply.

The overall supply here could be viewed to be that of match making services (not an electronically supplied service due to the amount of human interaction) and would therefore be taxable service. I will consider each element of the supply to distinguish if it can be considered a separate supply.

Video interview

The initial video interview and email and consultant draft of profile are not electronically supplied services due to the amount of human interaction and is a basic supply following the generic B2C rules with a place of supply of the US and therefore outside the scope of UK VAT.

Photography

This photography provided is an independent service as it is an additional add on for an additional fee to the customer with no obligation to include as part of the match making service.

The fee charged by the photographer to Intamacy US where the photographer is VTA registered will be outside the scope of UK VAT under general B2B rules where recipient (Intamacy Corp US is based) as there are no relevant U+E rules.

This however could be viewed as Intamacy Corp acting as an agent, arranging the photographer and individual to provide a service.

In that case they are acting as an intermediary and the supply follows the treatment of the supply between the photographer and the UK individual and therefore UK VAT should be charged. This is the correct treatment.

This can't be recovered by Intemacy UK as they are not the consumer of the service, the individual is (Redrow) as per case law only the consumer of the service can recover the VAT.

Computer generated shortlist

The computer generated shortlist stand alone is an electronically supplied service however it's not actually provided to the UK customer so is therefore not a service. Instead the consultants then pick from this shortlist and email to the client. This is part of the generic consultancy / match making service and will follow the general B2C supply rule and be outside the scope of UK VAT.

Followup video calls

The follow up video call is part of the single supply of consultancy (OSS UK VAT).

Additional fee - fashion consulting

This is a separate supply of services and will follow a separate supply to that of the consultancy.

Again Intemacy Corp is not providing the service here the fashion consultant is and therefore their supply is that of an intermediary (agent). Where the fashion consultancy is

VAT registered they will charge UK VAT and will follow the same VAT treatment as the photography.

Intermacy UK

The intercompany recharge from IUK to ICO will follow the general VAT rules with place of supply where the recipient is based which is the US and is therefore outside the scope of UK VAT.

Where the supply by IUK is for consultancy services outside the UK the place of supply has an override for consultancy services to be where the recipient belongs and is therefore outside the scope of UK VAT.

Where the consultants provide services to UK based clients they will charge UK VAT on the supply.

The recharge to I Corp should therefore be a fee on top of gross amount as I Corp won't be able to recover the VAT as they are not consuming the supply of consultancy.

Events

The hosting of the event has its costs reimbursed by Intermacy Corp.

The costs incurred by Intermacy UK they can recover the VAT as they have provided a taxable supply being the event.

The payment by Intermacy US can be for the Net amount as the VAT can be fully recovered and this is effectively a disbursement.

-----ANSWER-3-ABOVE-----

-----ANSWER-4-BELOW-----

Answer-to-Question- 4

Aggregate is determined to be gravel rock or stone and aggregate levy is determined to be due when it is subject to commercial exploitation unless meets specific conditions

1)

The limestone dug up rfrom an unused areas would be exempt from aggregate levy where it is subsequently used for construction on the property.

However, the production of a wildflower garden does not consiture a contruction.

Selling 100 tonnes of limestone

Normally commerical exploitation would occur on the sale to Agz21 and Aggregate levy would be due by Aggrix at £2.03 per tonne.

There is an exemption where items are sold to produce industrial minerals that includes the production of bartye and no AL will be due.

This only applies to the 25 tonnes used to produce bartye and the remaining 75 tonnes will be subject to aggregate levy by Aggrix

$$75 * 2.03 = \text{£}152.25$$

90 tonnes

The 90 tonnes dumped back on the school premises will be placed back in the site it was won without being mixed with anything (just crushed) so therefore is not taxable for aggregate levy.

This is not a registered landfill site and if considered to be disposed of would be subject to landfill tax (and given no registration limit subject to a registration).

However, given this is used for agricultural benefit and restoration of the land this will not be subject to landfill tax as it is not deemed to be dumped.

250 tonnes of limestone sold to a construction company will be subject to AGL

$$250 * 2.03 = \text{£}507.50$$

2)

For reference dredging from a pond does not qualify as exempt from AGL on this basis as it is not dredging from a waterway. A pond does not qualify as a waterway / watercourse.

It also does not qualify as a dredging for the same reason for landfill tax and in any case is not then deposited in a registered landfill site.

The removal from the site to a third party site is commercial exploitation and results in aggregate levy being due on the 87.5 tonnes = £177.63

There is a relief from aggregate levy where the aggregate results in the production of play sand where this is clearly packaged as playsand.

Aggrix will need to keep clear record of the process carried out and the labelling as play sand but where this is met a credit for £177.63 can be claimed on the same return (pending no return falls due between leaving site and returning as play sand).

If they fall on different returns than AG will need to be claimed on a later return.

for the 62.5 tonnes the mixing of the aggregate levy on the site (i.e at the school) with other aggregate not subject to the levy is not commercial exploitation.

However where construction then occurs even at the site, this is chargeable at the point of construction and $62.5 \times 2.03 = £126.66$ is due by Aggrix.

3)

The 15,000 tonnes of rock will be subject to aggregate levy at the point of leaving the site, or when is subject to a signed contract with the contractor company whichever is earlier.

$$15,000 * 2.03 = \text{£}30,450$$

This is not dumped for LFT purposes and is not due in all scenarios apart from scenario 4.

4)

Where aggregate is genuine waste and is removed for a site and will be subject to landfill tax it is relieved from aggregate levy.

Where the concrete is used to cover a landfill cell as a permanent cap and an impermeable layer where disposals have concluded this will also not be subject to landfill tax.

If this wasn't the case it would be the landfill tax operator which would have to account for LFT not Agrix however, in this case it appears to be not chargeable for LFT purposes.

This should be clearly documented in the contract so that Agrix is covered should it not be put to this use and therefore AGL would become due.

-----ANSWER-4-ABOVE-----

-----ANSWER-5-BELOW-----

Answer-to-Question- 5

1)CD

V should enquire locally if its require to carry out an export decleration in Vietnam.

Where Vtelc is a non-establihsr taxable person it needd to register as a NETP with HMRC.

As Vtelc hasnt got any premise in the UK it is a NETP and therefore will need to use an agent to carry out any decleration on their behalf.

In order to declare the goods at customs they will need a GB EORI number. They can use an agents GB EORI number as they dont have an establishment.

Vtelc may also want to use a deferrment account to defer any CD due on decleration to the 15th day of the following month.

As a NETP they are allowed to operate a deferrment account but also may find it beneficial to use an agents where they already have one in place.

Vtelc as a NETP may need a singular guarentee to be set up up to 2 times the amount in the deferrment account.

The agent may set up their own guarantee and V can use this however the agent will more than likely charge for this so it may be beneficial for V to set up their own guarantee.

On the declaration the commodity code, valuation method (expect to be method one as sale to a third party to get to the CIF valuation), value, origin (vietnam where wholly obtained or last substantial process is in Vietnam) will need declaring.

the commodity code will need to be determined by the 6 rules.

Where the consignment is less than £135 no customs duty will be due as a low value consignment however given exporting electronic goods this seems unlikely in practice

The declaration will also need to include V's VAT ID where they are VAT registered (obligations explained later on).

The agent can act as an indirect or a direct agent. A direct agent means the agent will be filling in the declaration on behalf of V whilst an indirect agent they would be filling in their own name.

Direct agent will be cheaper for V due to not being jointly and severally liable and therefore is likely to be the route V operates (especially given VAT registration requirements).

Named declarant for Engtelly

Where they are the named declarant they will be due to pay the customs duty when it is discharged (released to free circulation) with deferred account delaying the actual payment.

The declaration will be on a C88.

The cost of the goods will be the CIF value of the goods and will not include any costs for CD purposes from the point of arriving at the UK port.

Title of goods passing (For CD recoverability)

Where the title of the goods passes has no impact on who pays the customs duty on import as this is paid by the declarant in any case.

Where V uses an indirect agent they will be liable to pay for the customs duty as they will be the named declarant and they would subsequently charge this back to V (likely with an additional fee).

V should consider if there are any special procedures they could use to delay / reduce customs duty.

For example, they could use a public custom warehouse to store the goods and delay CD and import VAT and they would only become due at the point of release to free circulation.

2) Import VAT

DDP (can recover where owner of the goods)

Import VAT will be due alongside customs duty by the declarant.

As V will be making taxable supplies in the UK where the goods are delivered duty paid (i.e. the supply is therefore occurring in the UK) in other words where title passes in the UK they will be required to register as soon as they become aware the supply will be occurring.

As V will be VAT registered they can opt to account for import VAT via postponed VAT accounting by accounting for import VAT as output tax on their VAT return.

This can provide a cash flow benefit vs paying upfront on declaration given the VAT returns may well be quarterly.

Where V is the owner of the goods at the point of import i.e. title has not yet passed, V can subsequently recover the import VAT. Where they have opted to use PVA they can recover on the same VAT return. As V is making fully taxable supplies they would be entitled to full recovery and a cash flow benefit of accounting for import VAT as output and input VAT on the same return.

They have no obligation to use PVA and can pay at the port and then recover on the VAT return where they so wish.

They should maintain evidence of a C79 to recover the import VAT.

DDP

Under delivered duty paid the cost includes all costs up until the goods are delivered at Es warehouse. Subsequently, it would make sense for ownership to be transferred at Entgelly warehouse. This would entitle E to recover the relevant import tax due to being the owner of the goods at point of import.

FOB

Under FOB (the third sale onwards) here cost is covered up to the point the goods are placed on the ship in Vietnam and from that point the seller is responsible for the goods and therefore makes sense that ownership shifts here.

Where this is the case import VAT can not be recovered by V as they are not the owner of the goods at the point of import.

Therefore, commercially it would make sense to either, transfer ownership in the UK and charge DDP past the second sale or for import VAT to be included in the price V charges England so that it is subsidised for its lack of ability to recover the import tax.

This should be included in the contract by V i.e any recoverable import VAT is included in the price of the goods supplied to E (to protect any VAT leakage for V).

Indirect agent

Alternatively, V could use an indirect agent and therefore the supply of electronic goods would be deemed to be made too and by the agent.

In this scenario V would not be liable to register for VAT and the agent would be the owner of the goods rather than V at point of import under a DDP arrangement and therefore the agent would be able to recover the import tax where they are VAT registered.

The downside of this is an indirect agent would commonly charge high fees to V due to being jointly and severally liable to CD and VAT and therefore may not commercially be viable.

The costs and pratically of this option should be commerically weighed up.

-----ANSWER-5-ABOVE-----

-----ANSWER-6-BELOW-----

Answer-to-Question- 6

Inward Processing

Wiltig can potentially use inward processing as a special procedure to provide customs duty relief however will need specific requirements before it can carry it out.

Firstly, there has to be a process which isn't a simple process that is carried out in the UK regarding imports.

W is transforming plastic chemicals into buckets which is beyond a simple procedure and is therefore liable to a process.

Inward processing allows customs duty to be delayed up until the point the goods are released for free circulation (following completion of the process) and a bill of discharge is made.

Customs duty can also be deemed to be paid on the value and CD of the product import or can be the value and CD of the product following process (which may generate a CD saving beyond a cashflow benefit). I will calculate in due course.

In order to use inward processing, Wiltig can declare 3 times up to 500k a year via noting inward processing on the declaration.

This can be filled in by the agent in the name of W.

However, given the amount of imports occurring it would be beneficial to apply using an SP3 for full authorisation which can last up to 5 years (given not sensitive goods).

This should be provided by Wiltig rather than the agent.

Wiltig should apply to HMRC and will need to provide the following as part of the application:

- GB EORI number
- Detailed breakdown of the process that will be carried out. This will have to be done on a case by case basis as each process will need a different authorisation. Therefore, carrying out for the standard non-branded buckets first seems beneficial
- The throughput i.e. the length of time it is expected for the process to be carried out.
- The yield how many buckets or items will be processed from the number of chemicals imported.

W will also need to prove to HMRC that they have adequate records that are clear and easy to follow.

They will need to prove they have individuals in the organisation with sufficient professional competence and due care to record and carry out the procedures.

The company financial health should be provided as well as the tax compliance for the prior 3 years will be checked.

Any prior convictions by employees of Wiltig will be checked for criminal convictions / charges.

Once provide to hMRc they will review within 30 days and may potentialyl ask for more details, 30 days to provide and will carry out a final review before confirming full authorisation.

Equivialnce

Any items imported and a inward processed carry out and then subsequently exported will not be subject to customs duty provided proof of exdport (i.e. ariway bill) is maintained and a movements register records the export and abill of discharge is submitted to HRMC.

Ontop of this, equivialnce allows Wiltig to account for any items where equivialnt chemcials are sourced from UK suppliers and mixed with imported supplies or stored with imported supplies and are eventually exported to deem to contain the imported materials even where this is not the case. This would result in no Cd being due on the imported goods subsequently exported.

W can also use prior equivialnce where if items are soley sourced from the UK and then exported and within 6 months identical materials are imported they can be deemed to be attribuitable to the exported supply and therefore no CD is due.

Valautoin and CD rate

As mentioned previosuly on a case by case basis W can use the customs duty rate and value of the imported goods or the value and CD rate of the goods suppliedd domestically depending whcih provided a lower CD.

For example

If W used the imported value and rate the CD due would be:

$$(72,500+2,500)*0.03 = 2,250$$

60% of this sold domestically would be = 1,350

If W used the value and rate of the finalised product after inward processing the value would expect to be higher given the CD rate is higher but if for whatever reason the value of the goods was considerably lower then this could be a CD saving.

Compared to the CD if used the value after processing $150000*0.05 = 7,500$.

As W has the choice it would be beneficial to use the imported value and CD rate.

There is a saving however of $2,250*0.40 = \text{£}900$ as an example being the CD saved of the goods subsequently exported and CD not becoming due.

the UK freight to the factory is not considered in the CD value but is in the import VAT value.

import VAT is also delayed via inward processing until discharged.

Retrospective application (started a year ago)

HMRC at their discretion can also allow retrospective application for up to a year where they see a reason for it not being used previously.

If this was allowed given the 3D printer has started being used a year ago this could look to be used retrospectively for the prior year increasing CD savings further were subsequently exported.

HMRC may require a deferment account to be set up by W in order to carry out the special procedure

A separate IP would need to be applied for each process that is significantly different although generic branding if a similar process and materials may be able to be grouped).

Customs warehouse for storage?

Following inward processing taking place if the items are subsequently not yet available for sale or have not found a seller then they could be stored in a public customs warehouse (or private although commercially probably not viable) this would further delay customs duty.

A further benefit being if Fe items are branded where these are ultimately not sold to the customer they can be destroyed in the customs warehouse and no CD would become due provided evidence can be provided to HMRC.

Licences

As chemicals can sometimes require licences to import into the UK using a customs warehouse to store the chemicals until a licence is received is allowable by HMRC and again would delay CD being due until discharged. They could be subsequently transferred to a inward processing procedure and this would delay CD until discharge

from IP procedure.

A private custom warehouse could also be applied via a SP2 and follows a simialr applicatoin process to inward processing.

Car parts - temporary admission full relief if taken out of UK eventually?