

Answer-to-Question-\_6\_

**Denis and Emma's salaries and stock options**

**Salaries**

Based on the Article 15 of DTA which is mirroring OECD MTC 2017, salaries, wages and other similar remunerations of resident of Contracting State in respect of employment income shall be taxed only in that State unless the employment is exercised in the other contracting state.

Considering that Gallant Inc is resident of Harmonia, the exemption to the general provision (paragraph 2 of the Article 15) is not applicable.

From the given facts Denis and Emma are residents of Iveria. Therefore whether Harmonia may tax their employment income or not depend on the fact whether the work was exercised in Harmonia or not.

Facts state that Denis and Emma only traveled to Harmonia once a year for a team building for one week. Based on the paragraph 1 of the OECD commentary to the Article 15 of MTC "employment is exercised in the place where where the employee is physically present when performing the activities for which the employment income is paid."

The probability that team building will be qualified as the activities for which the employment income is paid is low. However additional facts and circumstances should be evaluated. If this activities based on the employment agreement provisions

will not be qualified as above mentioned, then only Iveria has taxing rights on this income based on DTA. However, if this income will be qualified as above mentioned, the only period of physical presence in Harmonia may be taxed in Harmonia. And whole amount of income, as in most countries worldwide income of residents taxable in residence state, will be taxable in Iveria.

Additionally Denis and Emma have rights to apply to credit/exemption method based on Article 23 for eliminating double taxation on their income.

### **Stock options**

Based on the Article 15 of DTA which is mirroring OECD MTC 2017, salaries, wages and other similar remunerations of resident of Contracting State in respect of employment income shall be taxed only in that State unless the employment is exercised in the other contracting state.

As the wording of paragraph 1 of article 15 of DTA includes "other similar remunerations" not only ordinary salary payments should be covered by this article. From the facts it is clear that these stock options have been given for good performed work during employment activities.

There is a separate part in commentaries for Article 15 dealing with treatment of employee stock options

Paragraph 12.1 of OECD commentaries to Article 15 states that "Article allows State of source to tax the part of the stock option benefit that constitutes remuneration derived from employment exercised in that State even if the tax is levied at later time".

The question whether these activities exercised in the source State addressed in above part.

However employment income should be distinguished from capital gain. Based on commentaries when stock options granted to employee for employment activities it is considered as employment income and covered by Article 15. However once it will be exercised (12.2 of commentaries) Article 13 should be applied.

**Compensation that Emma might receive from non-complete agreement with Gallant Inc**

This income should be considered as employment income as it is agreed in the employment agreement and should be taxed in the state where the work might be exercised if this provision will not be in the agreement.

Answer-to-Question-7\_

**General information DTA**

Conclusion of DTAs aim develop economic relations between countries by prevention of double taxation without creation of double non taxation, exchange of information between countries, assistance in the collection of taxes, non-discrimination of nationals on Contracting States and etc.

Based on provisions of DTA it allocate taxing rights between States. However there is another view that DTA limits taxing rights of States.

There are two links between income/tax payers and governments - source and residence which give rights to States tax income of persons. It is general approach that States taxes worldwide

income of its residents (in some States - citizens). However if there existing DTA between states person may use Article 23 for elimination of double taxation, i.e. reducing taxes paid to State by the amount paid in source State.

It is normal that each state is interested in increasing its portion of collected taxes, as most income of State budgeted comes from collected taxes.

However, concluding DTA both States comes to compromise, and allocate rights between each other. Therefore the terms of agreement should be interesting and acceptable by both States.

Magnolia concluded OECD model for its treaties. There is an option for developing countries to use UN model, as it gives more rights to source countries (which is mostly developing countries). But as I said above the agreement should be interesting for both Contracting States and it is highly likely that some states (like US) has more power in negotiation of the agreement.

#### **Importance of PE definition.**

Article 7 of DTA deals with business profit. Based on this article "Profit of an enterprise of a Contracting State shall be taxable only in that State unless the enterprise carries on business in the other Contracting State through a permanent establishment situated therein".

From this paragraph we can see that main criteria for allocating taxing rights between Contracting States is whether the person having business profit has PE in Source State or not.

In 2000 article 14 which covered income from independent personal

services deleted and it means that provisions of Article 7 covers business income of all persons covered by Treaty, except the items income which are dealt with separately in other Articles.

### **PE definition**

PE definition is given in the Article 5 of DTA.

Based on Paragraph 1 of this Article PE means a fixed place of business through which the business of an enterprise is wholly or partly carried on.

Paragraph 2 includes to this definition especially "...f) a mine, an oil or gas well, a quarry or any other place of extraction of natural resources."

For Magnolia it is essential to understand what should be considered as "fixed place". As the provisions of MTC do not give exact explanation of this term. What period of works creates PE, may it be 1 day, or it should be 300 days.

Based on paragraph 28 of commentaries to Article 5, fixed place can exist if there is "certain degree of permanency". The common approach of States to consider PE established when it carries the business in the State for the period more than half year. However some businesses by nature carried on for short period of time. Therefore there is a need to evaluate PE creation based on each case and based on all circumstances.

### **Provisions with regard to part f of paragraph 2 of article 5 of DTA**

OECD in commentary to Article 5 gives additional draft provisions that may be agreed between States to be included in their DTAs.

Commentary 48 c) gives the option to include duration which will create PE for a mine, an oil or gas well, a quarry or any other place of extraction of natural resources.

### **Conclusion**

As above mentioned PE is a key factor in DTA which allocates taxing rights to source State. However DTA is agreement between both States. Therefore Magnolia should evaluate following while changing its DTAs:

1. Whether it is acceptable to the other Contracting State.
2. Considering the number of DTAs there is a need to consider which ones will be beneficial to change. And whether Magnolia can use MLI for this purposes. MLI considered in force only if both States included respective provisions with regard to respective State.
3. Evaluate business and find optimal duration which may create PE. May it will be beneficial to include instead one month, two or three.

### **Answer-to-Question-4**

Conclusion of DTAs aim develop economic relations between countries by prevention of double taxation without creation of double non taxation, exchange of information between countries, assistance in the collection of taxes, non-discrimination of nationals on Contracting States and etc.

However exchange of information may be achieved through Model Agreement on Exchange of information on Tax Matters developed by

OECD and introduced in 2002.

## **Article 26**

Article 26 gives ground to Contracting States to exchange information as administrative assistance. This article on one hand works as support to the other Articles of MTC (will be discussed below) as well as support States in prevention of tax avoidance.

Based on paragraph 1 of the Article 26 "The competent authorities of the Contracting States shall exchange such information as is foreseeably relevant for carrying the provisions of this Convention or to the administration of the domestic laws concerning taxes of every kind and description imposed on behalf of the Contracting States, or of their political subdivisions or local authorities, insofar as the taxation thereunder is not contrary to the Convention."

There is notable the purpose - "for carrying the provisions of this Convention or to the administration of the domestic laws". Also it should be noted that this Article deals not only with taxes mentioned in the Article 2 of the Convention, but with "every kind and description imposed on behalf of the Contracting States".

Paragraph 2 deals with confidentiality of information obtained by one Contracting State and provided to other Contracting State. Paragraph 3 provide provisions based on which Contracting State is not bound to go beyond its own internal law and administration practice in putting information at the disposal of other Contracting States.

constructed to permit Contracting State to decline to supply in requested information solely because it has no domestic interest in such information.

Paragraph 5 imposes a positive obligation on Contracting state to exchange all types of information. For instance by overriding bank secrecy.

### **Integration of Article 26 with other DTA articles**

Article 27 deals with assistance for the purposes of collection of taxes, but information for this purpose is exchanged based on the Article 26. Article 25 deals with Mutual Agreement procedure (paragraph 6 of OECD commentaries to Article 25), but this article agrees on discussions between tax authorities of States. However exchange of information for MAP purposes are governed by Article 26.

Also provisions of the Article may be used for the purposes of Article 12 (for the information concerning the amount of royalty transmitted, with regard to beneficial owner information indicated in Articles 10-12, For purposes of Articles 7 and 23A/B (on adjustments to the profit attributable to PE), Article 15 (with regard to days spent by individual).

### **Fishing expeditions**

Paragraph 5 of the commentaries for the Article 26 say that "foreseeable relevance" is intended to provide for exchange of information in tax matters to the widest possible extent and, at the same time, to clarify that Contracting States are not at liberty to engage in fishing expeditions or to request



information that is unlikely to be relevant to the tax affairs of the given tax payer.

It means that the requesting state does not have a right to request any information that may be available or possible to obtain from the taxpayer without the reasonable possibility that this information will be relevant (no matter whether it is immaterially relevant or not).

The commentary says that the requesting State should provide an explanation as to the foreseeable relevance of the requested information. Otherwise, another State has a right to decline the request.

There are some comments on the fishing expeditions in Model Agreement on Exchange of Information on Tax Matters. Which say that the requested information does not constitute as fishing only because the name or address of the tax payer is missing, as well as spelled wrong.

Answer-to-Question-5

Nexus for taxation

There are two common links which are used by States to levy taxes on the persons. Connection of person to the State and connection of the income to the states.

First one is mostly covered by residence, situs, citizenship definitions. Second link is the income to the state where it arises.

Source taxation

The theory of residence taxation is that the state of residence give required invirement for the person to live, exist, secure enviroment and ets. The source state gives relavant economic inviroment to earn income.

The main source of income for goverments is the taxes collected. Mostly source states is Developing countries and it is vital for them to collect taxes to grow and develop.

As non-residents do not have registration, at some times do not have any presense (expesialy when the income earned is passive) in the state the Countries impove taxes throw the agent (local tax residents). Which withhold taxes from the income of the non-resident person and transfer it to the source state budget. Dividend, interest and royalty income is passive income types.

DTA

Articles 10-12 of OECD and UN MTCs deals with passive income. OECD version of 10 -12 Articles allocate taxing rights between both states. Articles say that the income may be taxes in residence State. And may also be taxes by Source State. Hovewer pargraph 2 of Article 10 limmits taxing rights of Sorse state if the the beneficialy owner of the dividends is resident of the other State and covered provision of part a) (which limits up to 5 %) of this paragraph or part b) (which limits up to 15 %) of this paragraph

Article 11 limits the taxing rights of Sorce State up to 10% if the benefiary owner of the interest is resident of other State.

Article 11 limits the taxing rights of Sorce State to 0% if the benefiary owner of the interest is resident of other State.

"Beneficial owner" is an anti-avoidance provision which deals with treaty shopping, and especially with conduit companies.

UN model, which gives more weight to source principle than does OECD, in article 12 does not give taxing rights only to residence state.

CFC

It was common tax planning practice of entrepreneurs to shift passive income to low tax jurisdictions only with purpose to pay lower taxes. To prevent this practice OECD added to BEPS project Article 3 which deals with this practice.

This provision suggests countries implement domestic law on CFC, which give taxing rights to beneficial owner residence state.