

Answer-to-Question-_1_

Part 1:

As per the OECD Transfer pricing guideline, accurately delineating the transaction is one the important and starting point of undertaking Comparability analysis.

Following are the transactions between associated enterprises:

Company A:

1. Purchase of finished goods from Company C (possible this might not be a purchase transaction, depending on clarification of facts regarding contract manufacturing)
2. Interest free loan to Company B
3. Interest free loan to Company C
4. Interest free loan to Company D
5. Provision of management, administrative, information technology, accounting, taxation,, marketing assistance and technical assistance to Company B.
6. Provision of management, administrative, information technology, accounting, taxation,, marketing assistance and technical assistance to Company C.
7. Provision of management, administrative, information technology, accounting, taxation,, marketing assistance and technical assistance to Company D.
8. Grant Company C to contract manufacture for the group.

9. Provide intellectual property to Company C.

10. Receipt of research and development services from Company C.

Company B:

1. Purchase of finished goods from Company C or Company A (this will depend upon clarification of facts regarding contract manufacturing)

2. Receipt of interest free loan from Company A.

3. Receipt of management, administrative, information technology, accounting, taxation, marketing assistance and technical assistance to Company A.

Company C:

1. Sale of finished goods to Company A (This probably may not be a sales transaction depending upon facts on contract manufacturing)

2. Sale of finished goods to Company B or Company A (depending upon terms of contract manufacturing)

3. Sale of finished goods to Company D or Company A (depending upon terms of contract manufacturing)

4. Receipt of interest free loan from Company A.

5. Performing research and development activities for Company A.

6. Perform contract manufacturing for Company A.

7. Receipt of management, administrative, information technology, accounting, taxation,, marketing assistance and technical assistance to Company A.

8. Purchase of raw material from Company A (this might be from third party but no clear facts are provided about procurement)

9. Receipt of intellectual property from Company A.

Company D:

1. Purchase of finished goods from Company C or Company A (this will depend upon clarification of facts regarding contract manufacturing)

2. Receipt of interest free loan from Company A.

3. Receipt of management, administrative, information technology, accounting, taxation,, marketing assistance and technical assistance to Company A.

Part 2:

Transfer pricing methods are quite relevant in determination of an appropriate transfer price. OECD transfer pricing guideline 2.2, recommends that in transfer pricing analysis, parties are required to select the most appropriate method . In selecting most appropriate method parties should consider:

1. Strength and weakness of each methods
2. Appropriateness of method in view of controlled transaction
3. Availability of comparable data
4. Degree of comparability between controlled and uncontrolled transaction.

OECD Transfer pricing guideline (OECD TPG) recognises 5 methods. Below is discussion of each method and suitability of particular method for associated transaction identified above.

Comparable uncontrolled price method (CUP method):

This method compares price charged for the property or services in a controlled transaction to the price charged in comparable uncontrolled transaction in comparable circumstances. If comparable uncontrolled transaction can be identified then CUP is usually the preferred method. It is the most direct and reliable way to apply arm's length principle. Useful for commodities and financial transactions.

A CUP method could potentially be applied in

1. Provision of routine services by Company A to Company B, C and D
2. Price charged by Company D for sale of Goods to Company A, B and D
3. Interest to be charged on Loan to Company B, C and D. This can be compared with interest rate generally charged by the banks for similar arrangement.
4. Grant of IP by Company A to Company C

Further, it is not clarified in the question if Company A will charge its subsidiaries a licensee fee for Intellectual property, since independent enterprise may charge in a similar circumstance. So to determine licensee fee CUP method may be used.

Resale price method (RPM):

RPM begins with price charged for product that has been purchased from related party and resold to independent party. Resale price is then reduced by an appropriate gross margin on resale price representing the amount out of which reseller would seek to cover its selling expense and other operating expense and make an appropriate profit. What is left (after any adjustment if needed) will be considered as arm's length price for original transfer of property between associated entities

RPM can be used in following:

1. Purchase of finished goods by Company A from Company C, since Company will resell this good to third party
2. Purchase of finished goods by Company B from Company C, since Company will resell this good to third party
3. Purchase of finished goods by Company D from Company C, since Company will resell this good to third party

Resale margin of reseller in controlled transaction may be determined by reference to the margin same reseller earns in uncontrolled transaction

Cost Plus method (CPM)

CPM method begins with cost incurred by the supplier in controlled transaction and then an appropriate markup is then added to the cost, to make appropriate profit in light of functions performed and market condition. Useful for services, contract manufacturing and research and development activities.

Alternative to CUP, CPM may be used for the routine services provided by Company A to Company B, C and D.

CPM may be also be used research and development activities performed by Company C for Company A, by applying a modest markup.

Similarly, CPM may also be used for contract manufacturing activity provided by Company C.

Transaction Net margin method (TNMM):

TNMM examines net profit relative to an appropriate base like sale, cost, asset etc, from a controlled transaction. Advantage of TNMM is net profit indicator is less affected by difference in product characteristic and further is more tolerant to functional differences between controlled and uncontrolled transaction. TNMM is one sided method.

Based on the facts, TNMM may be applied using Company A, B, C and D. They would be tested party and compared against appropriate comparable.

Transactional profit split method

Based on the facts of case it is unlikely that this method will be used.

In regards to routine services rendered by Company A, consideration should also be given to Chapter VII of OECD TPG: Special consideration for intra-group services. That is whether service has been rendered and is it low value adding services and whether charge is at arms length.

Part 3

Firstly it is mentioned that operating profit margin of Company A

is only 2% whereas operating margin of other companies provided in facts is between 10-20%. Tax administration of Company will likely object to lower profit margin of Company A in light of extensive functions and risk assumed by Company A. Further Company A is the legal owner of group IP assuming it is also the economic owner.

Further, as per OECD TPG for rendering of low value intra group services minimum markup assumed is 5%. There may be risk that Company A is not appropriately compensated for group services.

Thirdly, another risk which appears is that Company A might not be compensated by associated entities for its intellectual property.

Lastly it is stated that Company is providing interest free loan to its subsidiaries. This arrangement may be questioned by the authority since it is unlikely that independent enterprises will provide interest free loans.

Considering the assets, employees and risk of Company A with its other associated entity it appears it is not appropriately compensated.

-----DO-NOT-EDIT-THIS-DIVIDER-----

Answer-to-Question-2

Part 1:

Functional analysis helps to identify economically significant activities and responsibilities undertaken, assets used and risk assumed by the parties to the transaction.

Firstly, contractual terms of the transaction will have to be examined.

Another steps which might be taken is analysis of group organisation structure. Information available in public domain and also on companies website. Review of three tiered documentation that is master file, local file and CBCR. Conducting interview with a broad range of personnel and strategic level and at operational level. Further, if possible undertake interview of foreign associated personne. Industry knowledge of company is another important aspect. It is utmost important to understand supply chain of the group and business chain of the group.

Company A:

Functions:

- 1.Strategic development
- 2.Sales/ marketing
- 3.Inventory management
- 4.Demand planning
- 5.Distribution
- 6.Funding
- 7.Administrative services

Asset:

- 1.Inellectual property
- 2.Office building
- 3.Furniture
- 4.MOtoe vehicle
- 5.Staff
- 6.Warehouse

Risk:

- 1.Inventory risk

2. Market risk
3. Financing risk
4. credit risk
5. Manufacturing risk (depending upon contact with Company C)
6. Warranty risk
7. Intellectual property risk
8. Capital investment risk

Company B:

Functions:

1. Sales/marketing
2. Procurement
3. Demand planning

Asset:

1. Staff
2. Motor vehicle

Risk:

1. Market risk
2. Warranty risk

Company C:

Functions:

1. Sales/marketing
2. Procurement
3. Demand planning
4. Contract manufacturing
5. Research and development
6. Logistic

Asset:

1. Staff
2. Plant and equipment

Risk:

1. Market risk
2. Warranty risk
3. Research and development risk
4. Manufacturing risk (depending upon contract with Company A)
5. Inventory risk (depending upon contract with Company A)

Company D:

Functions:

1. Sales/marketing
2. Procurement
3. Demand planning

Asset:

1. Staff
2. Office (Rented)

Risk:

1. Market risk
2. Warranty risk

Part 2:

Entity characterisation depends upon the functions, asset and risk assumed by each of the entity.

Company A: Company is legal owner of IP and other know how. It renders high value consulting service to subsidiaries.

It will be characterized as a Entrepreneur and owner of IP.

Head office functions with provision of high value services.

Company B: It only undertake sales and marketing activities.

It will be characterised as limited risk distributor.

Company C: It undertakes contract manufacturing. It also provide reserach and development activites. Further it undertaked sales and marketing acitivity.

It will be characterised as Contract manufacturer. Research and development provider. And limited risk distributor.

Company D:It only undertake sales acitvites.

It will be characterised as limired risk dtributor.

Part 3:

Comparability analysis is at the heart of the Arm's lenght principle.It provided for comparaison of controlled and unctrolled transactions. COmparability analysis helps in identifying commercial or financial relation between associated entities so that transaction is accurately delineated

Further, Artcile 9 is the foundation of comparability analyses.

Similar to Transfer pricing method. Comparability analysis aims at finding most reliable comparable. General guidance can be found in section D Chapter 1 of OECD TPG that is:

- 1.Contractual term
- 2.Functional analysis
- 3.Charchterstic of property or services
- 4.Economic circumstances
- 5.Business strategy.

Comparability analysis does not end at the search of comparable but is linked to each and every step of transfer pricing analysis. OCED decribes steps to a comparability analysis, which

is not the compulsory and may be relied upon. The process is as follows:

- 1.Determines number of years to be covered
- 2.Analyses taxpayes circumstances
- 3.Define the controlled transaction, select tested party
- 4.Review internal comparable
- 5.Identify and assess available external comparable
- 6.Select most appropriate transfer pricing method
- 7.Identify potential comparable
- 8.make comparability adjustment
- 9.Interpret and use data collected in determining Transfer price.

-----DO-NOT-EDIT-THIS-DIVIDER-----

Answer-to-Question-3

Part 1:

HH Head co (Country A)

Pre-structure:

1.Full fledged manufacturer with legal and economic ownership of intellectual property (IP) and research and development function.

2.Functions:

Manufacturing

Inventory management

Distribution

Research and development

Procurement

Grant of technical services

2.Asset

Plant and machinery

Staff

Inventory

Intellectual property

Working capital

3.Risk: Manages and controls all the risk

Post restructuring:

1.Act as distributor since IP is transferred and grants contract manufacturing to HH Sub 3.

2.Function

Grant contract manufacture to HH Sub 3

Grant of contract manufacturing to HH Sub 3

Procurement- Assuing HH head co undertaked procurement for HH Sub 3'

Sales/marketing

Asset:

All of above except plant and machinery and IP

Risk:All risk except for IP and research and development activity like:

Inventory risk

Market risk

Credit risk

Finance risk

Warranty risk only for sale by itself and not of HHSub 1

HH Sub 1

Pre- restructuring:

1.Limited risk distributor and after sale service provider

2.Functions:

Procurement

Marketing

After sales services and traning

3.Asset

Warehouse

Staff

4.Risk

Market risk

Post restruring

NO change execpt warranty risk will be borne by HH Sub 3

HH Sub 2.

1.Distributor

2.Functions:

Procurement

Marketing

After sales services and training

3.Asset

Warehouse

Staff

4.Risk

Market risk

Warranty risk

Post restruring

NO change

HH Sub 3.:

Pre restruring

NOt in existence

Post restructring

1.Full fledged or contract manufacturer

2.Funtions

CONtract manufacturing

Research and development activity

New product development

3.Asset

Plant and equipment

staff (transferred from HH Headco)

warehouse

4. Risk

Research and development risk

Warranty risk

HH Sub 4.

Post rescctruring:

1. Owner of group IP

2.Functions:

Strategic decision

3.Asset

Intellectual property

Staff (transferred from HH Headco)

4.All IP risk

Post restructuring all the entities that use HH Head co, HH SUB 1, HH Sub 2 and HH Sub 3 will pay royalty to HH Sub 4.

Part 3.

This is the case of business restructuring. Therefore reference is made to OECD TPG Chapter IX- Transfer pricing aspect of business restructuring. As per the OECD TPG in case of restructuring consideration should be given to changes to function, asset and risk of the entities examining pre and post restructuring arrangement to identify arm's length nature of overall restructuring and individual transaction between associated enterprises.

Two important aspects to be determined are Arm's length compensation for the restructuring itself and remuneration post-restructuring.

Accordingly, most important aspect will be Arm's length compensation to HH Head co for transfer of intangible.

In this connection, regards need to be given to following:

1. Has there been a legal and economic transfer of intellectual property;
2. Which entity are involved in development, maintenance, enhancement, protection and exploitation of intangible functions and has there been any change economically?
3. Has there been Arm's length compensation for transfer of asset and risk.

4. What are the contractual terms of parties
5. Arm's length nature of royalty paid
6. Valuation issue regarding intellectual property
7. Actual conduct of parties
8. Legal form of transaction relative to the economic reality of transaction

Another, critical aspect will be Arm's length price for transfer of employees from HH Headco.

Following is the summary of critical aspect will be:

1. ALP for transfer of IP, who is the legal and who is economic owner
2. ALP of royalty paid to HH SUB 4
3. ALP for transfer of Staff

-----DO-NOT-EDIT-THIS-DIVIDER-----

Answer-to-Question-6

Part 1:

Safe harbour is the provision that applies to defined category of

taxpayer or transaction and it relieves eligible taxpayer from certain TP obligation.

Benefit:

- 1.Simplifies compliance
- 2.Cost reduction to tax payer
- 3.Certainty to taxpayer that price will not be challenged by tax administration
- 4.Permit tax administration to redirect resources from lower risk transaction.

Risk:

- 1.It may lead to reporting of non arms length price for some taxable income
- 2.Increase risk of double taxation
- 3.Open avenue for inappropriate tax planning
- 4.May raise concern of tax equity

Part 2: Simultaneous tax examination are defined in OECD model agreement for undertaking of Simultaneous tax examination as an arrangement between two or more parties to examine simultaneous and independently each of its own territory, the tax affairs of taxpayer which have common or related interest.

Simultaneous tax examination aims at information exchange between state

hence legal authorities for it are:

- 1.Article 26 of OECD MTC
- 2.Article 12 Nordic convention on Mutual assistance in tax matters
- 3.Article 8, Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in tax matters.

Part 3:

These are the intragroup services which :

1. Are supportive in nature
2. Are not part of core business of MNE group
3. Do not use or create unique and valuable intangibles
4. Do not involve or give rise to assumption, control or creation of significant risk.

BEPS Action plan 8-10 resulted in elective simplified transfer pricing approach for low value adding intra-group services. Simplified approach requires that cost for low value adding intra group services should be allocated to group that receives service with an required markup of 5%.

Simplified approach reduces compliance cost of meeting benefit test and arm's length principle. Further, it will provide certainty to MNE group. Steps are defined to undertake simplified approach.

-----DO-NOT-EDIT-THIS-DIVIDER-----

Answer-to-Question-9

Part 2:

Advance pricing arrangement (APA) is a arrangement between tax authority and MNE , requiring tax authorities to accept a transfer price for future transaction of associated enterprises of the MNE. APA is highly effective dispute avoidance techniques. To avoid huge cost and time on transfer pricing litigation MNE may prefer to undertake APA which will provide certainty to them, until the facts and assumptions and transaction defined in

APA does not change, transfer price for transaction covered under APA will not be questioned by tax authorities.

There are 3 types of APA

Unilateral

Bilateral

Multilateral

Amongst the above, most costly is Multilateral APA, then bilateral and then unilateral.

Bilateral and multilateral APA will substantially reduce risk of double taxation for MNE.

Whether MNE should enter into APA will depend on a number of factors:

1. Whether tax administration have APA
2. Risk appetite of MNE
3. Materiality and complexity of transaction
4. Whether safe harbour rule apply in countries
5. Whether other country has tax treaty

Part 3:

Cost contractual arrangement (CCA) is an contractual arrangement among business enterprises to share contribution and risk in joint development, production or obtaining of intangible, tangible asset or services with understanding that such intangible, tangible asset and services are expected to create benefit for each participants.

Transfer Pricing focus is the commercial or financial relations between the participants and the contributions made by the participants that create opportunities to achieve this outcome.

There are two types of CCA : DEvelopment and SErvice CCA.

Arm's lenght principle focuses that part or all compensation intended by the participants is exepcted mutual and proportionate to benefit to be derived from CCA.