

KPMG LLP 15 Canada Square London E14 5GL United Kingdom

The UK Endorsement Board 8th Floor 125 London Wall Barbican London EC2Y 5AS

Contact Danny Clark

1 February 2022

Dear UK Endorsement Board

Draft Endorsement Criteria Assessment (DECA) for IFRS 17

We welcome the opportunity to comment on the DECA for IFRS 17.

We note the UKEB has taken a holistic approach to its assessment of IFRS 17 against the endorsement criteria, considering the impact of the standard as a whole. We believe this is an appropriate approach.

We support the adoption of IFRS 17 in the UK. It represents a significant improvement in accounting for insurance contracts compared to IFRS 4. We believe there should be a single set of globally-consistent financial reporting standards and so support the adoption of IFRS 17 in the UK without amendment.

The UKEB's tentative technical accounting criteria assessments are fair and balanced. We note the UKEB is seeking input on several technical issues, including the allocation of the CSM for annuities, the grouping of insurance contracts, and reinsurance-to-close (RITC) transactions.

We agree the appropriate approach for determining coverage units for annuities is one of interpretation. The standard's objective and principles are clear on this and current difficulties in finding a consensus in the case of annuities do not necessarily indicate the technical accounting criteria as a whole are not met. We anticipate the interpretation of IFRS 17 in relation to this issue will be considered by the IFRS Interpretations Committee over the coming months. Regardless of the outcome of these deliberations, we would support the timely adoption of the standard as issued by the IASB.

In setting out its requirements for grouping, including profitability testing and annual cohorts, the IASB's objective was to reflect profits and losses in appropriate periods, balancing the risk of losing useful information about profitability trends and onerous



contracts with the operational burden for preparers. The requirements to identify portfolios, profitability groups and annual cohorts taken together enable this objective to be met. If there were no annual cohort requirement, we do not believe this objective would be met.

The application of IFRS 17 to RITC transactions is relevant for entities that participate in Lloyd's syndicates. We agree with the UKEB's tentative technical accounting criteria assessment that the requirements may cause a lack of understandability, initially at least, and could create an operational burden for some preparers. However, we note this issue is also relevant for entities outside the UK, because, for example, non-UK entities participate in Lloyd's syndicates or the participating entities have parents that are outside the UK. We believe a UK-adopted modification may consequently result in reduced comparability and usefulness of the financial information reported by entities that participate in Lloyd's syndicates and may create additional complexity for some preparers and users. Therefore, we do not believe IFRS 17 should be modified in this regard.

If you have any questions about our comments or wish to discuss any of these matters further, please contact Danny Clark.

Yours sincerely

KPMG UP

KPMG LLP



Invitation to Comment:

Call for comments on [Draft] Endorsement Criteria Assessment: IFRS 17 *Insurance Contracts*

Deadline for completion of this Invitation to Comment:

Close of business 3 February 2022

Please submit to: ifrs17@endorsement-board.uk

Part A: Introduction

The objective of this Invitation to Comment from the UK Endorsement Board (UKEB) is to obtain input from stakeholders on the UK endorsement and adoption of IFRS 17 *Insurance Contracts* issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) in May 2017 and subsequently amended in June 2020 [and December 2021¹].

IFRS 17 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2023. Earlier application is permitted but only for entities that apply IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* on or before the date of initial application of IFRS 17.

IFRS 17 establishes principles for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of insurance contracts within the scope of the standard. It is intended to replace the current interim accounting standard on insurance contracts, IFRS 4 *Insurance Contracts*.

UK endorsement and adoption process

The requirements for UK endorsement and adoption are set out in the Statutory Instrument 2019/685².

In July 2021 the IASB published Exposure Draft ED/2021/8 *Initial application of IFRS 17 and IFRS 9 – Comparative Information (Proposed Amendment to IFRS 17)*. The IASB plans to complete any resulting amendment by the end of 2021.

The International Accounting Standards and European Public Limited-Liability Company (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2019/685/made



The delegation of powers to adopt international accounting standards for use in the UK was made to the UKEB in May 2021³.

The information collected from this Invitation to Comment is intended to help with the endorsement assessment. This will form part of the work necessary to assess IFRS 17 for potential UK endorsement and adoption.

Who should respond to this Invitation to Comment?

Stakeholders with an interest in the quality of accounts of UK entities that issue insurance contracts and that apply IFRS.

How to respond to this Invitation to Comment

Please download this document, answer any questions on which you would like to provide views, and then return it along with the document 'Invitation to Comment - Your Details' to ifrs17@endorsement-board.uk by close of business on 3 February 2022.

Responses providing views on individual questions as well as comprehensive responses to all questions are welcome.

Privacy and other policies

The data collected through submitting this document will be stored and processed by the UKEB. By submitting this document, you consent to the UKEB processing your data for the purposes of influencing the development of and endorsing IFRS for use in the UK. For further information, please see our Privacy Statements and Notices and other Policies (e.g. Consultation Responses Policy and Data Protection Policy)⁴.

The UKEB's policy is to publish on its website all responses to formal consultations issued by the UKEB unless the respondent explicitly requests otherwise. A standard confidentiality statement in an e-mail message will not be regarded as a request for non-disclosure. If you do not wish your signature to be published on our website, please provide UKEB with an unsigned version of your submission. The UKEB prefers to publish responses that do not include a personal signature. Other than the name of the organisation/individual responding, information contained in the "Your Details" document will not be published. The UKEB does not edit personal information (such as telephone numbers, postal or e-mail addresses) from any other document submitted; therefore, only information that you wish to be published should be submitted in such responses.

The International Accounting Standards (Delegation of Functions) (EU Exit) Regulations 2021: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2021/609/contents/made

These policies can be accessed from the footer in the UKEB website here: https://www.endorsement-board.uk



Part B: Assessment against endorsement criteria

Section 1 - Legislative framework and our approach to the assessment

1. Do you have any comments on our approach to the assessment presented in Section 1 of our [Draft] Endorsement Criteria Assessment (ECA)?

The UKEB has taken a holistic approach to its assessment of IFRS 17 against the endorsement criteria, considering the impact of the standard as a whole. We believe that this is an appropriate approach for the UKEB to take.

2.	Do you agree that the finalisation of the amendment to IFRS 17 proposed in the IASB's
	Exposure Draft ED/2021/8 Initial Application of IFRS 17 and IFRS 9 - Comparative
	Information (Proposed Amendment to IFRS 17) is not likely to give rise to any issues that are significant for the purposes of our IFRS 17 ECA or adoption decision (paragraph 1.2 of [Draft] ECA)?

Yes		No				
If not, please provide an explanation.						
No comments						

Section 2 - Description of IFRS 17

3. Do you have any comments on the summary of IFRS 17's requirements? Are there any other features of IFRS 17 that should be covered in this section?

No comments

Section 3 – Technical accounting criteria assessment

4. Do you agree that the assessment in Section 3, together with Appendix B, captures all the priority and significant technical accounting issues?

_		Yes		No	
---	--	-----	--	----	--

If not, please provide an explanation.

No comments

5. *CSM allocation for annuities*: do you agree with the [tentative] assessment against the endorsement criteria (paragraphs 3.40 – 3.53)?



UKEB UK Endorsement Board					
Yes	×	No			
If not, please provid	de an explanation.				
essentially one of interquestion, and current d	ssment. It explains the curpretation. The standard ifficulties in finding a coat the technical accounting	's objective and princi onsensus in the case	ples are clear on this of annuities do not		
6. Discount rates: do criteria (paragraphs	you agree with the [ters 3.72 – 3.90)?	ntative] assessment ag	ainst the endorsement		
Yes		No			
No comments	vide an explanation.	buckets and annual col	norts: do vou agree with		
	ssment against the endo	rsement criteria (paragı	raphs 3.101 – 3.116)?		
Yes		No			
If not, please provid	de an explanation.				
objective was to reflect useful information abou The requirements to id	itive assessment against profits and losses in appr t profitability trends and o entify portfolios, profitability to be met. If the met.	opriate periods, balanc nerous contracts with c oility groups and annua	ing the risk of losing operational burden. al cohorts taken		
8. With-profits – inherited estates: do you agree with the [tentative] assessment against the endorsement criteria (paragraphs 3.143 – 3.157)?					
Yes	\boxtimes	No			
If not, please provid	de an explanation.				

No comments

Do you agree with our overall [tentative] conclusion that IFRS 17 meets the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability and comparability required of the financial 9.



	Yes	\boxtimes	No	
	If not, please provid	de an explanation.		
No	comments			
Sec	ion 4 – IIK long ter	m public good assessm	nent .	
10.	Improvements intro	nduced by IFRS 17: are need to be featured (par	there other aspects of	the changes expected
	Yes		No	\boxtimes
	If yes, please pro	vide an explanation.		
No	comments			
			(() () () 7	
	costs and benefits	e: do you have any common for each of the main standard taken to sunk costs (akeholder groups (para	graphs 4.67 - 4.135)
No	costs and benefits including the appro	for each of the main sta	akeholder groups (para paragraphs 4.91 – 4.99 ssessment fairly capture	graphs 4.67 – 4.135)
No	costs and benefits including the approximate comments Effect on the economic impacts of the sta	for each of the main state of taken to sunk costs (akeholder groups (para paragraphs 4.91 – 4.99 ssessment fairly capture	graphs 4.67 – 4.135)
No	costs and benefits including the approximate comments Effect on the econor impacts of the sta 4.136 – 4.275)?	for each of the main state arch taken to sunk costs (omy: does the [tentative] are ndard on the insurance	akeholder groups (para paragraphs 4.91 – 4.99 ssessment fairly capture industry and wider UK	graphs 4.67 – 4.135) the principal expected economy (paragraphs
<u>No</u>	costs and benefits including the approximate comments Effect on the econor impacts of the state 4.136 – 4.275)?	for each of the main state arch taken to sunk costs (omy: does the [tentative] are ndard on the insurance	akeholder groups (para paragraphs 4.91 – 4.99 ssessment fairly capture industry and wider UK	graphs 4.67 – 4.135) the principal expected economy (paragraphs
12.	costs and benefits including the approximate comments Effect on the economic impacts of the state 4.136 – 4.275)? Yes If not, please provide comments Do you agree with	for each of the main state arch taken to sunk costs (omy: does the [tentative] are ndard on the insurance	akeholder groups (para paragraphs 4.91 – 4.99) ssessment fairly capture industry and wider UK No	graphs 4.67 – 4.135) the principal expected economy (paragraphs



No	No comments				
Sect	ion 5 – True and fa	ir view assessment			
14.					
No	comments				
15.		our [tentative] conclusion Regulation 7(1)(a) of SI 2	that IFRS 17 is not contra 2019/685?	ary to the true and fair	
	Yes	\boxtimes	No		
	If not, please provid	de an explanation.			
No	comments				
App 16.	ppendix B – Assessment of remaining significant issues Do you agree with the [tentative] assessment against the endorsement criteria for each of the remaining significant issues presented in Appendix B?				
	Yes		No		
	If not, please provious your comments related	•	fying clearly to which sign	ificant technical issue	
No	comments				
17.	Do you have any comments on the application of IFRS 17 to Reinsurance-to-close transactions (see comments towards the end of the assessment in respect of Contracts acquired in their settlement period – page 142)?				
Ple	ase refer to our cove	ering letter.			



No comments

Overall [Draft] ECA 18. Do you have any additional feedback that the UKEB should consider? No [Tentative] Adoption decision 19. Do you agree with our [tentative] overall conclusion that IFRS 17 meets the statutory endorsement criteria and should be adopted for use in the UK (see Section 6)? Yes No If not, please provide an explanation.

Thank you for completing this Invitation to Comment